# EXHIBIT 1

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)
COUNTY OF ANDERSON	) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ) TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
Stephanie Nicole Sanders,	)
Plaintiff,	SUMMONS
v.	) Case No.: 2020-CP-04
Carrol Corporation, LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, individually and as agent of Carrol Corporation, LLC.	) ) ) )
Defendant.	) ) )

TO: CARROL CORPORATION, LLC AND JANE DOE, A CITIZEN AND RESIDENT OF ANDERSON COUNTY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS AGENT OF CARROL CORPORATION, LLC, DEFENDANTS:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to answer the Complaint in this action of which a copy is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to said Complaint upon the subscriber at his office at 1209 North Main Street, P.O. Box 2861, Anderson, South Carolina 29622 within thirty (30) days after the service hereof, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

### THE ALLEN & ALLEN LAW FIRM

s/Donald L. Chuck Allen
Donald L. Chuck Allen, SC Bar #10421

s/Joshua C .B. Allen
Joshua C .B. Allen, SC Bar #80398
Attorneys for Plaintiff
PO BOX 2861
Anderson, SC 29622
864-226-6184

Dated: February 26, 2020 Anderson, South Carolina

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)
COUNTY OF ANDERSON	<ul><li>COURT OF COMMON PLEAS</li><li>TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT</li></ul>
Stephanie Nicole Sanders,	)
Plaintiff,	) COMPLAINT
v.	) Case No.: 2020-CP-04
Carrol Corporation, LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, individually and as agent of Carrol Corporation, LLC.	) ) ) )
Defendant.	) ) )

The Plaintiff would respectfully show unto the Court and allege:

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. That the Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Anderson County, South Carolina, That the Defendant, Carrol Corporation, LLC, is a corporation organized pursuant to the laws of one of the States of the United States and owns and operates the below described fast food restaurant located in Anderson County, South Carolina. That the Defendant, Jane Doe, was the store manager at the time of the below described incident and upon information and belief, she is a citizen and resident of Anderson County, South Carolina. That this Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter contained herein.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

2. That on or about September 4, 2018, the Plaintiff, Stephanie N. Sanders, was injured when she abruptly slipped and fell as the result of a liquid discharge coming out of a drainpipe at the Burger King restaurant located in

Powdersville on September 4, 2018 which was at, near or upon the handicap walkway "curb cut out" of the north side handicap access as well as the handicap parking space. That the Plaintiff was at the establishment as a business invitee when she attempted to enter the public restaurant by way of the handicap access. That the dangerous substance was at, near or upon the handicap access and handicap parking space and appeared to flow continuously. That the area around the handicap access and handicap parking space the Plaintiff was utilizing was unsafe, hazardous and unreasonably dangerous due to the streaming and accumulation of the liquid discharge all while the Defendants had actual or constructive knowledge of same.

# FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Recklessness, Gross Negligence and Negligence)

- 3. That Paragraphs 1 and 2 are incorporated into this First Cause of Action as if repeated verbatim herein.
- 4. That the Defendants, jointly and severally, had an affirmative duty to the Plaintiff as a business invitee on its property, to warn of or eliminate any unreasonable risks or dangers on its premises.
- 5. That the Defendants, jointly and severally, breached this duty by allowing or permitting, through its negligence, gross negligence, recklessness, wilfulness and wantonness, the hazard and defective conditions to remain on the property in a place allowed for the passage of the Plaintiff and other customers despite having actual or constructive knowledge of same.
  - 6. That as a direct and proximate result of the negligence, gross

negligence, recklessness, wilfulness and wantonness of the Defendants, both jointly and severally, the Plaintiff sustained serious, severe and permanent injuries to her right knee and ankle. That such injuries caused the Plaintiff to suffer extreme pain and suffering and to incur numerous medical expenses. That the Plaintiff should be granted a judgment against the Defendants, both jointly and severally, in a sum to be determined by a jury, for actual and punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff seeks a judgment against the Defendants, in a sum to be determined by a jury, for actual and punitive damages and for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Plaintiffs demand a jury trial.

THE ALLEN & ALLEN LAW FIRM

s/Donald L. Chuck Allen
Donald L. Chuck Allen, SC Bar #10421

s/Joshua C. B. Allen Joshua C. B. Allen, SC Bar #80398 Attorneys for Plaintiff PO Box 2861 Anderson, SC 29622 (864)226-6184

Dated: February 26, 2020 Anderson, South Carolina

STATE OF	SOUT	H CAROLINA	)
COUNTY	OF	ANDERSON	) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ) TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
Stephanie N	icole S	Sanders,	)
		Plaintiff,	) AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
v.			) Case No.: 2020-CP-04-00591
Doe, a citize Anderson Co	n and ounty,	n,LLC and Jane resident of individually and Corporation, LLC.	) ) ) )
		Defendant.	) )
<pre>PERSONALLY APPEARED BEFORE ME, the undersigned deponent, who being duly sworn, says that (s)he served the Summons and Complaint in the above matter on the Defendants:  ( ) by delivering to</pre>			
( ) by delivering to <u>Detrice Westpoint</u> , shift manager who is an agent of the <u>Carrol Corporation</u> , <u>LLC</u> Located at <u>3001 Highway 153</u> , <u>Piedmont</u> , <u>SC</u> ;			
on the 7th of March , 2020, and that deponent is not a party			
to this action, and has no interest therewith or connection			
therewith		E ME THIS THE	Process Server
0041		of march	, 2020

NOTARY PUBLIC FOR SOUTH CAROLINA MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:12.31-29

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)
COUNTY OF ANDERSON	<ul><li>) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS</li><li>) TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT</li></ul>
Stephanie Nicole Sanders,	)
Plaintiff,	AFFIDAVIT OF DEFAULT
v.	) Case No.: 2020-CP-04-00591
Carrol Corporation, LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, individually and as agent of Carrol Corporation, LLC.	) ) )
Defendant.	) ) )

PERSONALLY APPEARED BEFORE ME, Donald L. Chuck Allen, attorney for the Plaintiff, who, after being duly deposed and sworn, states that the Defendants, Carrol Corporation, LLC and Jane Doe, were served by personal service a Summons and Complaint on March 7, 2020 as evidenced by an Affidavit of Service filed with the Anderson County Clerk of Court; more than thirty (30) days have elapsed since such service and that no answer, demurrer, or other pleadings have been received on behalf of the Defendants, Carrol Corporation, LLC and Jane Doe.

THE ALLEN & ALLEN LAW FIRM

Donald L. Chuck Allen, SC Bar #10421

Attorney for Plaintiff

PO Box 2861

Anderson, SC 29622

(864)226-6184

SWORN to before me this the day of July, 2020

Notary Public of South Carolina

My Commission Expires:

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS		
COUNTY OF ANDERSON	) TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT		
Stephanie Nicole Sanders,	)		
Plaintiff,	) AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE		
v.	Case No.: 2020-CP-04-00591		
Carrol Corporation,LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, individually and as agent of Carrol Corporation, LLC.	) ) ) )		
Defendant.	) )		
PERSONALLY APPEARED BEFORE ME, the undersigned deponent, who being duly sworn, says that (s)he served the Summons and Complaint in the above matter on the Defendants:			
<pre>( ) by delivering to personally, ( ) by delivering to,     a person of age and discretion at the resident of     the Defendant, leaving withcopies of the same at :</pre>			
who is an agent of t	Detrice Westpoint, shift manager the Carrol Corporation, LLC ighway 153, Piedmont, SC ;		
on the 7th of March , 2020, and that deponent is not a party			
to this action, and has no in	terest therewith or connection		
therewith.	Cedar		
SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS THE	Process Server		
With day of march	, 2020		
NOTARY PUBLIC FOR SOUTH CAROL MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:12.31-29	INA		



# Common Pleas

Case Caption: Stephanie Nicole Sanders VS Carrol Corporation, Llc , defendant, et

al

**Case Number:** 2020CP0400591

Type: Affidavit/Default

So Ordered

s/Richard A. Shirley, Anderson County Clerk of

Court

Electronically signed on 2020-08-03 14:10:05 page 3 of 3

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF ANDERSON

Stephanie Nicole Sanders

Plaintiff,

ν.

Carrol Corporation, LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, Individually and as agent of Carrol Corporation, LLC

Defendants.

# IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

C.A. No.: 2020-CP-04-00591

**AFFIDAVIT OF** 

Personally appearing before me, Steven Sanders, who, being first duly sworn, says as follows:

- 1. My name is Steven Sanders. I am a District Manager for Carrols LLC, which owns and operates Burger King Restaurants, among other things. As District Manager for Carrols LLC, I am responsible for 10 Burger King restaurants, including the one located at 3001 Highway 153 in Piedmont, South Carolina. The information contained in this affidavit is within my personal knowledge and information to which I have access in the ordinary course of business.
- 2. Detrice Westpoint is one of the shift managers at 3001 Highway 153 in Piedmont, South Carolina. There are multiple shift managers at each Burger King restaurant owned and operated by Carrols LLC. Each store also has Assistant General Managers and a General Manager, all of which rank above shift managers. Shift managers, including Ms. Westpoint, are non-exempt, hourly employees. They typically make between \$12 and \$13 per hour. They have no authority to hire or fire employees.
- 3. Shift managers do not have any authority to accept service of process on behalf of Carrols LLC. In fact, the Assistant General Managers and General Managers do not have authority to accept service of process on behalf of Carrols LLC, nor do District Managers.

- 4. Detrice Westpoint does not and never has had authority to receive process on behalf of Carrols LLC. Detrice Westpoint is not authorized by law to receive process on behalf of Carrols LLC.
- 5. I am not familiar with any entity called "Carrol Corporation, LLC." Detrice Westpoint is not employed by any enty called "Carrol Corporation, LLC."

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Steven Sanders

Sworn to before me this

33 day of Semble , 2020 My Comm. Expires

Notary Public for Michigan Soul Co. My Comm. Expires

My Commission Expires: 08 74 Explored Supplied to Management (CARO) International Control of the Commission of the Caroling of the Commission o

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF ANDERSON

Stephanie Nicole Sanders

Plaintiff.

V.

Carrol Corporation, LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, Individually and as agent of Carrol Corporation, LLC

Defendants.

# IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

C.A. No.: 2020-CP-04-00591

# MOTION TO SET ASIDE THE ENTRY OF DEFAULT

Pursuant to Rule 55(c), SCRCP, Carrols LLC ("Carrols LLC" or "Defendant"), improperly named as "Carrol Corporation, LLC," hereby moves to set aside the default entered in this matter because proper service of process has never been effected upon Defendant. Carrols LLC states the following as grounds for this motion:

- 1. Carrols LLC owns and operates the Burger King restaurant located at 3001 Highway 153 in Piedmont, South Carolina, which is where the incident alleged in the Complaint is alleged to have occurred.
- 2. There is no entity called "Carrol Corporation, LLC," at least not one that is affiliated with Carrols LLC. Carrols LLC, rather than Carrol Corporation, LLC owns and operates the Burger King restaurant located at 3001 Highway 153 in Piedmont, South Carolina, which is

{\$1246364.1}

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is not clear that a default has actually been entered. Rather, Plaintiff filed an Affidavit of Default which does not actually seek the relief of entry of default within the substance of the Affidavit of Default. There is a signature page from the Clerk of Court that says "So Ordered" attached to the Affidavit of Default. Out of caution, Defendant is treating this as entry of default. However, to the extent a default has not been entered, this filing should be treated as an opposition to any entry of default.

where the incident alleged in the Complaint is alleged to have occurred. Carrols LLC is not named as a defendant and, therefore, the default that has been entered is not binding on Carrols LLC.<sup>2</sup>

- 3. The sole service alleged is personal service upon "Detrice Westpoint, shift manager who is an agent of the Carrol Corporation, LLC" on March 7, 2020 (Affidavit of Service filed March 10, 2020). However, Detrice Westpoint is not, and never has been, authorized to accept service on behalf of Carrols LLC, or Jane Doe, or "Carrol Corporation, LLC".
- 4. The South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure provides for service of process upon a corporation "by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to an officer, a managing or general agent, or to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process ..." Rule 4(d)(3), SCRCP. The law is clear that "[s]ervice on a corporation may only be accomplished by service upon an authorized person." *Langley v. Graham*, 322 S.C. 428, 430, 472 S.E.2d 259, 260, fn 2 (Ct. App. 1996).
- 5. To be authorized to receive service of process on behalf of a defendant, there must be evidence showing "the defendant intended to confer such authority" to receive service of process on the particular agent. *Moore v. Simpson*, 322 S.C. 518, 523, 473 S.E.2d 64, 67 (Ct. App. 1996). "Without specific authorization, service is not effective when made upon an employee of the defendant, such as a secretary." *Id.* at 523-24, 473 S.E.2d at 67 (emphasis added); *see also Roberson v. Southern Finance of South Carolina*, 365 S.C. 6, 615 S.E.2d 112 (2005) (insufficient service of process when complaint served upon clerical employee of registered agent of defendant); *Graham Law Firm, P.A. v. Makawi*, 396 S.C. 290, 721 S.E.2d 430 (2012) (insufficient service of process when complaint served upon hostess of restaurant operated by

{\$1246364.1}

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Carrols notes that in addition to the fundamental problem that Carrols LLC was not named, the failure to properly name Carrols was prejudicial as a practical matter because it prevented searches and automated hits from lawsuit filings from reaching counsel and the appropriate personnel who could have undertaken the appropriate action.

defendant). "The class of persons authorized to sign on behalf of defendants is narrow." *Graham Law Firm*, *P.A.*, 396 S.C. at 295 721 S.E.2d at 433. "Actual appointment for the specific purpose of receiving process normally is expected and the mere fact a person may be considered to act as defendant's agent for some purpose does not necessarily mean that the person has authority to receive process." *Id.* (citing Moore, 322 S.C. at 523, 473 S.E.2d at 67; *Hamilton v. Davis*, 300 S.C. 411, 414, 389 S.E.2d 297, 298 (Ct. App. 1990) (same).

- 6. Plaintiff has the burden of proving that the service of process was effected, including that the individual served had authority to accept service. *Moore*, 322 S.C. at 523 473 S.E.2d at 66; *Jensen v. Doe*, 292 S.C. 592, 594, 358 S.E.2d 148, 148–49 (Ct. App.1987) (plaintiff has the burden of showing the court has personal jurisdiction over defendant); *Brown v. Carolina Emerg. Physicians, P.A.*, 348 S.C. 569, 583, 560 S.E.2d 624, 632 (Ct. App. 2001) (finding the plaintiff had "failed to show" it effected proper service on the defendant where the process server left the summons and complaint with a secretary who held herself out to be the manager).
- 7. Here, Plaintiff has completely failed to meet her burden. Nothing in the Affidavit of Service provides any indication that Detrice Westpoint was authorized to accept service for Carrols LLC or Jane Doe (who is not even identified). Simply being shift manager is clearly insufficient. Rather, specific authorization to accept service is required, and the evidence of such authorization is completely lacking in this case.
- 8. The affidavit of Steven Sanders establishes that Detrice Westpoint does not and never has had authority to receive process on behalf of Carrols LLC. Sanders Aff. at ¶4, Exhibit 1 hereto. Ms. Westpoint is one of multiple shift managers at this restaurant alone. Sanders Aff. at ¶2. Carrols LLC owns and operates hundreds of Burger King restaurants. Each store also has Assistant General Managers and a General Manager, all of which rank above shift managers. Id.

{S1246364.1}

Shift managers, including Ms. Westpoint, are non-exempt, hourly employees. <u>Id.</u> They typically make between \$12 and \$13 per hour. <u>Id.</u> They have no authority to hire or fire employees. <u>Id.</u> Even for many levels above shift managers, such managers do not have any authority to accept service of process on behalf of Carrols LLC. <u>Id.</u>

- 9. Detrice Westpoint is not employed *in any capacity* nor does she have any agency relationship at all, with the named Defendants, "Carrol Corporation, LLC," and Jane Doe. Clearly she cannot be authorized to accept service for these unknown and fictitious defendants.
- Carrols LLC has properly designated a registered agent for service of process on Carrols LLC, pursuant to S.C. Code §33-44-108. The registered agent is Corporation Service Company, 508 Meeting Street, West Columbia, South Carolina 29169. **Exhibit 2** hereto. This designation is clearly available on South Carolina Secretary of State's website for anyone with internet access. See id. There was nothing that prevented proper service upon Carrols LLC via its registered agent.<sup>3</sup>
- Because service of process was ineffective, the default is void and any default judgment would be void. *See Momani v. Van Surdam*, 296 S.C. 409, 410, 373 S.E.2d 691, 692 (Ct. App. 1988) ("when a defendant is not properly served, 'the Court has no jurisdiction of the defendant, and all proceedings based on the pretended service are void.""); *Richardson Constr. Co., Inc. v. Meek Engineering and Const., Inc.*, 274 S.C. 307, 309, 262 S.E.2d 913, 915 (1980) (motion for relief from judgment grounded upon court's lack of jurisdiction "when warranted, is not discretionary but a matter of right."); see also *BB&T v. Taylor*, 369 S.C. 548, 551, 633 S.E.2d 501, 503 (2006).

**4** {\$1246364.1}

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Moreover, S.C. Code §33-44-111 provides for service upon the Secretary of State where the agent for service of process cannot be found. There is nothing in the statutes that allows a party to choose an unauthorized low level employee to try and effect service, even after other efforts fail, which was not the case here.

- 12. Moreover, though not required, good cause exists to set aside the default. Carrols LLC has meritorious defenses in this case, including that there was no unreasonably dangerous condition, including, but not limited to that any defect was open and obvious, and plaintiff's comparative negligence.
- 13. In South Carolina, "it is the policy of the law to favor the trial of cases on the merits." *Renney v. Dobbs House, Inc.*, 275 S.C. 562, 274 S.E.2d 290, 292 (1981). "Any doubts about whether relief should be granted should be resolved in favor of setting aside the default so that the case may be heard on the merits." *Tolson v. Hodge*, 411 F.2d 123, 130 (4th Cir. 1969). South Carolina courts have consistently held the discretion to grant relief from an entry of default should be exercised, "[i]n the same liberal spirit in which [Section 15-27-130] was designed in furtherance of justice and in order that cases may be tried and disposed of upon their merits." *Graybar Electric Co., Inc., v. Rice*, 287 S.C. 518, 339 S.E.2d 883 (Ct. App. 1986) (citing *Marr v. Tesauro*, 283 S.C. 333, 322 S.E.2d 685 (S.C. App. 1984)); *Gaskins v. California Ins. Co.*, 195 S.C. 376, 11 S.E.2d 436 (1940). Thus, vacating the Entry of Default would serve the interests of justice and the well settled policies decisions concerning default situations.

This motion is further based on the pleadings in this case, the applicable statutory and case law, and such other matters and/or materials that may be presented to the Court before or during the hearing on this motion.

{\$1246364.1} 5

Respectfully submitted,

s/Denny P. Major

Denny P. Major, S.C. Bar No. 74907 Email: <a href="mailto:dmajor@hsblawfirm.com">dmajor@hsblawfirm.com</a> HAYNSWORTH SINKLER BOYD, P.A. ONE North Main Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor (29601) P.O. Box 2048 Greenville, SC 29602

Telephone:

(864) 240-3200

Facsimile:

(864) 240-3300

Attorneys for Carrols LLC

September 23 2020 Greenville, South Carolina

6

STATE OF SO	UTH CA	AROLINA	)	
COUNTY	OF	ANDERSO		COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ) TENTH JUDICIAL RCUIT
Stephanie Nice	ole Sand	ers,	)	CONSENT ORDER TO DEFENTANT'S MOTION TO SET ASIDE ENTRY OF DEFAULT
v.			) )	Case No.: 2020-CP-04-00591
Carrol Corpora Doe, a citizen a Anderson Cour as agent of Car	and resid nty, indi	dent of vidually and	) ) ) )	
	Def	endant.	) )	

Plaintiff's counsel, Donald L. Chuck Allen, hereby requests an order continuing the hearing on the Defendant's Motion to Set Aside the Entry of Default with regard to the Defendant, which is on the motion roster for Wednesday, October 28, 2020. The basis for the consent order is that Plaintiff's counsel will be attending the funeral of the late Harold P. Threlkeld, Esq.

Opposing counsel has consented to said request for a continuance

For the reasons set forth above, Plaintiff's attorney's Motion is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Honorable R. Scott Sprouse
Tenth Judicial Circuit

Dated:		
Dated		

## WE SO MOVE:

## THE ALLEN & ALLEN LAW FIRM

# s/Donald L. Chuck Allen

Donald L. Chuck Allen, SC Bar#10421 Joshua C. B. Allen, SC Bar #8098 P.O. Box 2861 Anderson, SC 29622 864-226-6184

Attorneys for the Plaintiff, Stephanie Nicole Sanders

## I CONSENT:

# HAYNSWORTH SINKLER BOYD, PA

s/Denny P. Major

Denny P. Major, SC Bar #74907 PO BOX 2048 Grenville, SC 29602 864-240-3200

Attorney for Defendant, Carrols, LLC

8:21-cv-00510-JD Date Filed 02/18/21 Entry Number 1-1 Page 20 of 31



# Anderson Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** 

Stephanie Nicole Sanders VS Carrol Corporation, Llc, defendant, et

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2020 Oct 28 2:57 PM - ANDERSON - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2020CP0400591

al

**Case Number:** 

2020CP0400591

Type:

Order/Continuance

s/R. Scott Sprouse, Judge #2752

Tenth Judicial Circuit

Electronically signed on 2020-10-28 14:50:46 page 3 of 3

8:21-cv-00510-JD Date Filed 02/18/21 Entry Number 1-1 Page 21 of 31

# STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF ANDERSON

#### IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAST

#### NOTICE OF ADR

Stephanie Nicole Sanders

2020CP0400591

PLAINTIFF(S)

Filing Date: February 26, 2020

Vs

Carrol Corporation, Lle Jane Doe

#### **DEFENDANT(S)**

Pursuant to the South Carolina Alternative Dispute Resolution Rules (SCADR), you are required to participate in the following methods of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR): mediation or arbitration (binding or non-binding); on or before 300 days from the date of filing of this action. The parties have a right to mutually agree upon the form of ADR and a neutral person(s) to conduct that ADR process. In the event the parties are unable to agree upon the form of ADR, the court hereby designates mediation as the default process of ADR. In the event the parties are unable to agree upon a mediator, the court hereby appoints

#### Bryan Francis Hickey, PO Box 2048, Greenville, SC 29602, Phone (864) 240-3246

to serve as mediator. In the event the aforementioned mediator has a conflict of interest or is unable to serve, the alternate mediator is

#### Steven M. Krause, 207 E. Calhoun St., Anderson, SC 29621, Phone (864) 225-4000

The parties and/or their lawyers shall contact the court-appointed mediator directly regarding scheduling and payment of the court-mandated fee.

A Rule to Show Cause why sanctions should not be imposed may be issued in all cases that fail to file a Proof of ADR or Exemption form indicating evidence of participation in or exemption from an ADR process within 300 days from the date of filing of the action or 90 days from the date of this notice: **December 8, 2020**.

#### Date: September 9, 2020

Notice of this Order was given by the E-Filing Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF). Pro-Se parties were notified by first class mail on 09/09/2020.

#### Plaintiff Attorney:

Donald Leverette Allen PO Box 2861 Anderson, SC 29622

#### **Defendant Attorney:**

Carrol Corporation, Llc 3001 Hwy. 153 Piedmont, SC 29673

Common Pleas Docket Clerk & ADR Coordinator Elizabeth Beasley (864) 260-4298 sebeasley@andersoncountysc.org ECTRONICALLY FILED - 2020 Sep 09 3:20 PM - ANDERSON - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2020CP040059\_

8:21-cv-00510-JD Date Filed 02/18/21 Entry Number 1-1 Page 22 of 31

# Elizabeth Beasley

From:

Elizabeth Beasley

Sent:

Wednesday, January 13, 2021 9:58 AM

To:

Major, Denny

Cc:

rette@allenandallenlawfirm.com; chuck@allenandallenlawfirm.com; McIntosh, Lawton

Secretary (Tammy Jennings); McIntosh, Lawton Law Clerk (Ashley Harris); Scott, Lisa M.

Subject:

RE: Motion "MSETAS-Motion/Set Aside Default" for Case "2020CP0400591-Stephanie

Nicole Sanders VS Carrol Corporation, Llc , defendant, et al" was added to a Motions

Roster for 1/13/2021 at 10:15 AM

Thank you. I'll mark this matter as resolved and remove it from the docket. It will not be rescheduled.

# S. Elizabeth Beasley

Civil Docket Clerk & ADR Coordinator Anderson County Clerk of Court P.O. Box 8002 Anderson, SC 29621 864-260-4298/864-260-4715(fax)

From: Major, Denny [mailto:dmajor@hsblawfirm.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 9:46 AM

To: Elizabeth Beasley < sebeasley@andersoncountysc.org>

Cc: rette@allenandallenlawfirm.com; chuck@allenandallenlawfirm.com

Subject: RE: Motion "MSETAS-Motion/Set Aside Default" for Case "2020CP0400591-Stephanie Nicole Sanders VS Carrol

Corporation, Llc , defendant, et al" was added to a Motions Roster for 1/13/2021 at 10:15 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Anderson County's email system. Please do not eliek links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If you have any questions, please contact the county helpdesk.

Ms. Beasley:

It appears that we have resolved the motion referenced herein, which is scheduled to be heard this morning at 10:15. We will be submitting a consent order regarding this motion. Consequently, there is no need for the hearing in this matter.

As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions.

# HAYNSWORTH SINKLER BOYD

Denny P. Major | Attorney

Direct 803.540.7923 | dmajor@hsblawfirm.com

Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, P.A. 1201 Main Street, 22nd Floor | Columbia, SC 29201 Main 803.779.3080 | Mobile 864.325.6663 | Fax 803.768.1243

Web | Blo | vCard | Map | Linked in | Blog

From: Courtmail04 DoNotReply@sccourts.org < Courtmail04 DoNotReply@sccourts.org>

Sent: Friday, December 11, 2020 4:25 PM
To: Major, Denny <<u>dmajor@hsblawfirm.com</u>>

Subject: Motion "MSETAS-Motion/Set Aside Default" for Case "2020CP0400591-Stephanie Nicole Sanders VS Carrol

Corporation, Lic, defendant, et al" was added to a Motions Roster for 1/13/2021 at 10:15 AM

\*CORRECTED\* A Motion hearing has been schedueled for WEDNESDAY, January 13, 2020 to be heard virtually via WebEx before the Honorable R. Lawton McIntosh. To access this hearing go to ScCourts.org. Click on the "Calendar" tab at the top of the page and select "Monthly Vicw". On the calendar that appears find the date or your hearing and click the "Circuit" link, Scroll to find Judge McIntosh's name and click to join his Virtual Courtroom. Please let our office know if you have any additional questions! Thank you.

S. Elizabeth Beasley

CP Docket Clerk

sebeasley@andersoncountysc.org/864-260-4298

—— CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE —— This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and may contain information which is legally privileged or otherwise exempt from disclosure. They are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom this e-mail is addressed. If you are not one of the named recipients or otherwise have reason to believe that you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this message immediately from your computer. Any other use, retention, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.

121 JAN 13 AMS:58:54 Anderson, SC 886, CP/65 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF ANDERSON

Stephanie Nicole Sanders

Plaintiff,

v.

Carrol Corporation, LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, Individually and as agent of Carrol Corporation, LLC

Defendants.

# IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

C.A. No.: 2020-CP-04-00591

CONSENT ORDER SETTING ASIDE DEFAULT

This matter is before the Court on motion of Defendant Carrols LLC ("Carrols"), improperly named as "Carrol Corporation, LLC," for an Order setting aside the entry of default in the above-referenced case. Plaintiff in this action alleges that she slipped and fell at the Burger King restaurant located at 3001 Highway 153 in Piedmont, South Carolina. Carrols argues that valid service has not been effected in this case. In addition, Carrols has represented that the Burger King restaurant at issue is owned and operated by Carrols LLC, not "Carrol Corporation, LLC," and that the default is not binding on Carrols because Carrols has not been named as a defendant.

Counsel for Plaintiff consents to setting aside the entry of default and has indicated that Plaintiff will file an Amended Complaint that names "Carrols LLC" as the defendant rather than "Carrol Corporation, LLC." Denny P. Major, counsel for Carrols in this matter, has indicated that he is authorized to accept service of process of such Amended Complaint.<sup>1</sup>

NOW, THEREFORE, with the consent of the parties hereto, it is hereby ORDERED that:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> He has also made clear that such authority is limited only to accepting service of the Amended Complaint for Carrols LLC in this particular matter.

- 1. The entry of default is hereby set aside. Valid service of process has not been effected upon any defendant in this case, and the time for a defendant to serve an answer or otherwise respond has not started to run for any defendant.
- 2. Plaintiff is granted leave to file an Amended Complaint substituting Carrols LLC as the defendant in the place of Carrol Corporation, LLC.
- 3. The time for Carrols LLC to answer or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint shall be 30 days from the date that Denny P. Major, counsel for Carrols in this matter, accepts service of the Amended Complaint via an Acceptance of Service that is signed by him.

#### AND IT IS SO ORDERED

#### WE CONSENT

s/ Donald L. Allen

Donald Leverette Allen, Esq. The Allen & Allen Law Firm PO Box 2861 Anderson, SC 29622 rette@allenandallenlawfirm.com

#### WE CONSENT

s/ Denny P. Major

Denny P. Major, S.C. Bar No. 74907 HAYNSWORTH SINKLER BOYD, P.A. ONE North Main, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor P.O. Box 2048 Greenville, SC 29602 (864) 240-3200 dmajor@hsblawfirm.com 8:21-cv-00510-JD Date Filed 02/18/21 Entry Number 1-1 Page 26 of 31



# Anderson Common Pleas

Case Caption: Stephanie Nicole Sanders VS Carrol Corporation, Llc, defendant, et

al

**Case Number:** 2020CP0400591

**Type:** Order/Consent Order

S/R. LAWTON McINTOSH

S/R.LAWTON McINTOSH

Electronically signed on 2021-01-21 09:49:11 page 3 of 3

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)
COUNTY OF ANDERSON	<ul><li>) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS</li><li>) TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT</li></ul>
Stephanie Nicole Sanders, Plaintiff,	) AMENDED ) SUMMONS
v.	) Case No.: 2020-CP-04-00591
Carroll, LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, individually and as agent of Carroll, LLC.	) ) ) )
Defendant.	) _)

TO: CARROLL, LLC AND JANE DOE, A CITIZEN AND RESIDENT OF ANDERSON COUNTY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS AGENT OF CARROLL, LLC, DEFENDANTS AND THEIR ATTORNEY, DENNY P. MAJOR:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to answer the Amended Complaint in this action of which a copy is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to said Amended Complaint upon the subscriber at his office at 1209 North Main Street, P.O. Box 2861, Anderson, South Carolina 29622 within thirty (30) days after the service hereof, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the Amended Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in the Amended Complaint.

THE ALLEN & ALLEN LAW FIRM

s/Donald L. Chuck Allen
Donald L. Chuck Allen, SC Bar #10421

s/Joshua C.B. Allen
Joshua C.B. Allen, SC Bar #80398
Attorneys for Plaintiff
PO BOX 2861
Anderson, SC 29622
864-226-6184

Dated: January 20, 2021 Anderson, South Carolina

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)
COUNTY OF ANDERSON	) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ) TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
Stephanie Nicole Sanders, Plaintiff,	) AMENDED ) COMPLAINT )
v.	) Case No.: 2020-CP-04-00591
Carroll, LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, individually and as agent of Carroll, LLC.	) ) )
Defendant.	) ) _)

The Plaintiff would respectfully show unto the Court and allege:

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. That the Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Anderson County, South Carolina, That the Defendant, Carroll, LLC, is a corporation organized pursuant to the laws of one of the States of the United States and owns and operates the below described fast food restaurant located in Anderson County, South Carolina. That the Defendant, Jane Doe, was the store manager at the time of the below described incident and upon information and belief, she is a citizen and resident of Anderson County, South Carolina. That this Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter contained herein.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

2. That on or about September 4, 2018, the Plaintiff, Stephanie N. Sanders, was injured when she abruptly slipped and fell as the result of a liquid discharge coming out of a drainpipe at the Burger King restaurant located in

Powdersville on September 4, 2018 which was at, near or upon the handicap walkway "curb cut out" of the north side handicap access as well as the handicap parking space. That the Plaintiff was at the establishment as a business invitee when she attempted to enter the public restaurant by way of the handicap access. That the dangerous substance was at, near or upon the handicap access and handicap parking space and appeared to flow continuously. That the area around the handicap access and handicap parking space the Plaintiff was utilizing was unsafe, hazardous and unreasonably dangerous due to the streaming and accumulation of the liquid discharge all while the Defendants had actual or constructive knowledge of same.

#### FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Recklessness, Gross Negligence and Negligence)

- 3. That Paragraphs 1 and 2 are incorporated into this First Cause of Action as if repeated verbatim herein.
- 4. That the Defendants, jointly and severally, had an affirmative duty to the Plaintiff as a business invitee on its property, to warn of or eliminate any unreasonable risks or dangers on its premises.
- 5. That the Defendants, jointly and severally, breached this duty by allowing or permitting, through its negligence, gross negligence, recklessness, wilfulness and wantonness, the hazard and defective conditions to remain on the property in a place allowed for the passage of the Plaintiff and other customers despite having actual or constructive knowledge of same.
  - 6. That as a direct and proximate result of the negligence, gross

negligence, recklessness, wilfulness and wantonness of the Defendants, both jointly and severally, the Plaintiff sustained serious, severe and permanent injuries to her right knee and ankle. That such injuries caused the Plaintiff to suffer extreme pain and suffering and to incur numerous medical expenses. That the Plaintiff should be granted a judgment against the Defendants, both jointly and severally, in a sum to be determined by a jury, for actual and punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff seeks a judgment against the Defendants, in a sum to be determined by a jury, for actual and punitive damages and for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Plaintiffs demand a jury trial.

THE ALLEN & ALLEN LAW FIRM

s/Donald L. Chuck Allen Donald L. Chuck Allen, SC Bar #10421

s/Joshua C. B. Allen Joshua C. B. Allen, SC Bar #80398 Attorneys for Plaintiff PO Box 2861 Anderson, SC 29622  $(864)226 \cdot 6184$ 

Dated: January 20, 2021 Anderson, South Carolina

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	) COLIDE OF COMMON DITES
COUNTY OF ANDERSON	) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ) TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
Stephanie Nicole Sanders, Plaintiff,	) ACCEPTANCE OF ) SERVICE
v.	) Case No.: 2020-CP-04-00591
Carroll, LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, individually and as agent of Carroll, LLC.	) ) )
Defendant.	

I, Denny P. Major, attorney for the Defendants, Carroll, LLC and Jane Doe, a citizen and resident of Anderson County, individually and as agent of Carroll, LLC, hereby accepts service of the Amended Summons and Amended Complaint in the above captioned case this 21 day of January , 2021.

Denny P. Major Attorney for Defendants

SWORN to before me this the and of January, 2021

Notary Public of South Carolina

My Commission Expires: O